APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 1, 2017
- **B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Honolulu District, POH-** 2017-00067 JD Kohala Shoreline, Site: Keawewai Gulch between Highway 270 (Akoni Pule Highway) and the Pacific Ocean.
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Hawaii County: Island of Hawaii City: Waimea

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 20.074800 ° N, Long. -155.857057 °E

Universal Transverse Mercator: 5N 201183, 2222317.5 Name of nearest waterbody: Keawewai Gulch- PFO3A

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Pacific Ocean

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 20010000

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form
- D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 31, 2017

Field Determination. Date(s): June 23, 2017,

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.
 - a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: 845 (ft)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Established at this Time

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: N/A

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": N/A

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody³ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1.

Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW		
(i)	Wa	neral Area Conditions: tershed size: 6 square miles tinage area: 6 square miles
		erage annual rainfall: 10 inches erage annual snowfall: 0 inches
(ii)		Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Identify flow route to TNW ⁴ : Keawewai Gulch flows directly into the Pacific Ocean Tributary stream order, if known: 2
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Manipulated (man-altered).
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 10 feet Average depth: 2 feet Average side slopes: 3:1
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
		✓ Silts ✓ Sands Concrete
		✓ Cobbles ✓ Gravel
		✓ Bedrock ✓ Vegetation. Type/% cover: herbaceous grasses, 50% cover
		Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable, vegetated and rocky, steeper in some areas along the tributary Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Ephemeral stream, was dry when conducted site visit Tributary geometry: Relatively Straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 20%
	(c)	Flow:
		Tributary provides for: Ephemeral Flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: Stream flow after heavy rains

³ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁴ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

the Pacific Ocean during rain events. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The vegetation within the review area consists of mostly grasses,			Surface flow is: Discrete and Confined; Stream was dry when visited, but OHWM, bed and banks are defined and it appears that surface flow is discrete and confined
Red and banks OHWM* (check all indicators that apply):			Subsurface flow: Unknown
clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining water staining multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining water			
changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation v shelving the presence of wrack line v vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour w sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): N/A High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum: fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings: physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list): Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film: water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary flows adjacent to multiple small neghborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean and runging sits visit, but highway is 10,6 flowed f			OHWM ⁵ (check all indicators that apply):
vseptation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition water staining abrupt change in plant community sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM.6 Explain: Tif factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): N/A High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by; oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings: physical markings: vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list): other list): characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics; characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary flows adjacent to multiple small neighborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods start approximately 0.25 miles from the Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods and runoff from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean during rain events. ledentify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown William of the review area are consists of mostly grasses, with some woody vegetation. A higher percentage of trees and woody shrubs grow along the edge of Keawewai Gulch than most of the review area. There is approximately 50% woody vegetation along the edge of Keawewai Gulch versus approximately 25% woody vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch versus approximately 25% woody vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch versus Pacifi			clear, natural line impressed on the bank vertex the presence of litter and debris
vegetation matted down, bent, or absent vegetation country vediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community water staining did not plant community water staining water staining did not plant community water staining water staining water staining water staining physical markings; physical markings; physical markings; physical markings; wegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. did la gauges did la			changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation
leaf litter disturbed or washed away			shelving the presence of wrack line
water staining			vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting
water staining			leaf litter disturbed or washed away
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): N/A			sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events
Discontinuous OHWM.6 Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): N/A High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or secun line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list): other (list):			water staining abrupt change in plant community
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): N/A High Tide Line indicated by:			
High Tide Line indicated by:			Discontinuous OHWM. ⁶ Explain:
fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list): (iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods start approximately 0.25 miles from the Pacific Ocean. Pollutants from neighborhoods and runoff from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean during rain events. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The vegetation within the review area consists of mostly grasses, with some woodly vegetation. A higher percentage of trees and woodly shrubs grow along the edge of Keawewai Gulch than most of the review area. Their is approximately 25% woodly vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch versus approximately 25% woodly vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch provided fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for:			
physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. itidal gauges other (list); (iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary flows adjacent to multiple small neighborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods start approximately 0.25 miles from the Pacific Ocean. Pollutants from neighborhoods and runoff from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean during rain events. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The vegetation within the review area consists of mostly grasses, with some woody vegetation. A higher percentage of trees and woody shrubs grow along the edge of Keawewai Gulch than most of the review area. Their is approximately 25% woody vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Gherally Listed Species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW Physical Characteristics: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain: Wetland Quality. Explain: Wetland Quality. Explain: Wetland Type. Explain: Wetland Type. Explain: Wetland Type. Explain: Wetland Type. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Fight Specific Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Fight Specific Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:			oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum;
tidal gauges other (list): (iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary flows adjacent to multiple small neighborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods start approximately 0.25 miles from the Pacific Ocean. Pollutants from neighborhoods and runoff from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean during rain events. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): ▼ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The vegetation within the review area consists of mostly grasses, with some woody vegetation. A higher percentage of trees and woody shrubs grow along the edge of Keawewai Gulch than most of the review area. There is approximately 50% woody vegetation along the edge of Keawewai Gulch versus approximately 25% woody vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Wetland size: # acres Wetland Size: # acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Other environmentally sensitive species. Explain: Flow is: Explain: Flow is: Explain: Flow is: Explain: Flow is: Explain:			fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;
other (list): (iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary flows adjacent to multiple small neighborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods start approximately 0.25 miles from the Pacific Ocean. Pollutants from neighborhoods and runoff from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean during rain events. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): ✓ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The vegetation within the review area consists of mostly grasses, with some woody vegetation. A higher percentage of trees and woody shrubs grow along the edge of Keawewai Gulch than most of the review area. Their is approximately 50% woody vegetation along the edge of Keawewai Gulch versus approximately 25% woody vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Other acteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW (i) Physical Characteristics: Properties: Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Explain:			
 (iii) Chemical Characteristics:			
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary flows adjacent to multiple small neighborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods start approximately 0.25 miles from the Pacific Ocean. Pollutants from neighborhoods and runoff from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean during rain events. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): □ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The vegetation within the review area consists of mostly grasses, with some woody vegetation. A higher percentage of trees and woody shrubs grow along the edge of Keawewai Gulch than most of the review area. There is approximately 50% woody vegetation along the edge of Keawewai Gulch versus approximately 25% woody vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch. □ Wetland fringe. Characteristics: □ Habitat for: □ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: □ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: □ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: □ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW (i) Physical Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: # acres Wetland type. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Other environmentally-sensitive boundaries. Explain: Flow is: Explain:			other (list):
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: # acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Explain:		Ider Biol	Explain: Tributary flows adjacent to multiple small neighborhoods and under a highway before reaching the Pacific Ocean. Tributary is ephemeral and was dry during site visit, but highway is 0.16 miles from Pacific Ocean and neighborhoods start approximately 0.25 miles from the Pacific Ocean. Pollutants from neighborhoods and runoff from the highway may run into the Pacific Ocean during rain events. **ntify specific pollutants*, if known: Unknown** **logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):** Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The vegetation within the review area consists of mostly grasses, with some woody vegetation. A higher percentage of trees and woody shrubs grow along the edge of Keawewai Gulch than most of the review area. There is approximately 50% woody vegetation along the edge of Keawewai Gulch versus approximately 25% woody vegetation in the rest of the review area. This corridor is approximately 12 feet wide on each side of the Keawewai Gulch. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: # acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Explain:			
	(1)	(a)	General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: # acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Explain:

Other information on duration and volume: N/A

2.

⁵A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁶Ibid

Characteristics:
Subsurface flow: Explain findings:
Dye (or other) test performed:
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
Directly abutting
Not directly abutting
Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
Ecological connection. Explain:
Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
(d) <u>Proximity (Relationship) to TNW</u>
Project wetlands are river miles from TNW.
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from:
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the floodplain.
 (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
Habitat for:
Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis:
Approximately (#) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

3.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: Significant Nexus present. The tributary has the capacity to carry pollutants and flood waters to the Pacific Ocean, as well as transfer nutrients and organic carbon that may support downstream foodwebs.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

APPLY):		
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: # linear feet # width (ft), Or, # acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: # acres.	
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:	
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: # acres. Identify type(s) of waters:	
3.	Non-RPWs ⁷ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 845 linear feet 10 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: # acres. Identify type(s) of waters:	
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.	

⁷See Footnote # 3.

		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:	
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:	
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.	
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.	
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.	
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁸ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or	
		Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or	
		Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).	
Е.	OR	PLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATI DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHE L THAT APPLY): ⁹	
		which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.	
		from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.	
		which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.	
		Interstate isolated waters. Explain:	
		Other factors. Explain:	
	Ider	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:	
	Prov	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):	
		Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).	
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres.	
	_	Identify type(s) of waters:	
		Wetlands: # acres.	
F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):	
		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.	
		Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).	;
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:	
		Other: (explain, if not covered above):	
	(i.e.,	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factor, presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgmenteck all that apply):	
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).	
		Lakes/ponds: # acres.	
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource:	
		Wetlands: # acres.	

⁸ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
⁹ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a ling is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).
	Lakes/ponds: # acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource:
	Wetlands: # acres.
	wettailds. # acres.
SECTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
req	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and uested, appropriately reference sources below):
~	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Applicant submitted maps on 20 April 2017
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
_	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	Corps navigable waters' study:
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
~	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm; 2 May 2017
~	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; 3 May 2017
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
~	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Maps; 2 May 2017
	or 🔽 Other (Name & Date): Photos from site visit; 23 June 2017; Photos submitted by applicant: 20 April, 2017
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
~	Other information (please specify): DAR Watershed Information: www.hawaiiwatershedatlas.com/watersheds/hawaii/85022.pdf; 7 April 2008; Rainfall Atlas of Hawaii, University of Manoa: http://rainfall.geography.hawaii.edu/interactivemap.html, 1 Aug 2017
B. ADE	DITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:
	August 15, 2017
Reb	ecca Frager Date
Reg	ulatory Specialist