APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION
-------------------	------------	-------------

Δ	REPORT COMPLETION DAT	TE FOR APPROVED	IIIRISDICTIONAL.	DETERMINATION (II)). January	23 2018
/ 1.	KEI OKI COMI LETION DA	LL TOK ALI KO VED	JUNIODICITONAL		77. January	43.4010

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Honolulu District, Hau'oli St Drainage Improvements, POH 2009-00230

C.	PR	OJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	Cer Uni Nai Nai	te: Hawaii County: Maui County City: Maalaea nter coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 20.795278 ° N, Long156.507447 °E iversal Transverse Mercator: UTMZone 4N me of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Drainage Canal me of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Pacific Ocean me of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 20020000
	•	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
		Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form
D.	RE	VIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	•	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: January 23, 2018
		Field Determination. Date(s):
SEC	CTIC	ON II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
		A SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	. [Re	e no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review equired]
		Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
		Explain:
В.	CW.	A SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re ar	e no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
		Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
		TNWs, including territorial seas
		Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
		Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
		b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: # linear feet: # width (ft) and/or # acres. Wetlands: # acres.
		c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on:
		Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. •	Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Drainage feature constructed for storm water drainage; no surface connection to TNW.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

characteristics of non-114445 that now directly of markety mito 11444			
(i)	General Area Conditions: Watershed size: # Drainage area: #		
	Average annual rainfall: # inches Average annual snowfall: # inches		
(ii)	Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW:		
	Tributary flows directly into TNW.		
	Tributary flows through <i>Choose an item.</i> tributaries	before entering TNW.	
	Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . river miles from TNW Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . river miles from RPW Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . aerial (straight) miles Project waters are <i>Choose an item</i> . aerial (straight) miles Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain	from TNW. from RPW.	
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary stream order, if known:		
	(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural		
	Artificial (man-made). Explain	1:	
	Manipulated (man-altered). Ex	xplain:	

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: # feet Average depth: # feet Average side slopes: Choose an item.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): #%
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Choose an item. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: <i>Choose an item.</i> Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):
Cł	hemical Characteristics: haracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: entify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Third.

(iv)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
		Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
		Habitat for:
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i)		Westcal Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: # acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Choose an item. Explain:
		Surface flow is: Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Choose an item. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting
		Not directly abutting
		Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
		Ecological connection. Explain:
		Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are <i>Choose an item.</i> river miles from TNW. Project waters are <i>Choose an item.</i> aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: <i>Choose an item.</i> Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <i>Choose an item.</i> floodplain.
(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
()	Cha	aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics etc.). Explain: https://example.com/recommonships/re
(iii		logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
		Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
		Habitat for:
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
Cha	aract	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

3.

2.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: *Choose an item.*Approximately (#) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Click here to enter text.

D.

	TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT PLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: # linear feet # width (ft), Or, # acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: # acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: # acres. Identify type(s) of waters:

	3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
		Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres.
		Identify type(s) of waters:
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
		Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
		Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹
		As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
		Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
		Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
		Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	OR	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECKLE THAT APPLY): 10
	_	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
		from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
		which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
		Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
		Other factors. Explain:
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
		Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres.
		Identify type(s) of waters:
		Wetlands: # acres.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
		Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
	•	Other: (explain, if not covered above): Drainage feature is a man-made concrete-lined structure to direct surface runoff from surrounding properties into canal and eventually to the ocean. Mouth of drainage channel occurs 40-feet landward from High Tide Line of adjacent TNW. Normal conditions prevent surface hydrologic connection to TNW. Surface connection only apparent during heavy rains (not common in this arid region of the island).
	(i.e.,	ide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment ck all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: # acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource:.
		Wetlands: # acres.
		ide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a ng is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: # acres.
		·
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource:. Wetlands: # acres.
		NIV: DATA SOURCES.
	SUPP requ	NIV: DATA SOURCES. ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study:
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data.
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	SUPP required	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters
	SUPP required	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; accessed 19 Jan 2018
	SUPP required in the second se	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; accessed 19 Jan 2018 State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	SUPP required in the second se	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; accessed 19 Jan 2018 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:
	SUPP requ	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; accessed 19 Jan 2018 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Click here to enter text. (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	SUPP required in the second se	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; accessed 19 Jan 2018 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Click here to enter text. (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): GoogleEarth Pro, 24 July 2016
	SUPP required to the state of t	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; accessed 19 Jan 2018 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Click here to enter text. (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): GoogleEarth Pro, 24 July 2016 or Other (Name & Date):
	SUPP required to the state of t	ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: : Plots, Plans, Project Location Maps, and site photos submitted on 21 Nov 2017 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html; accessed 19 Jan 2018 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Click here to enter text. (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): GoogleEarth Pro, 24 July 2016

~	Other information (please specify): A timeline search on Google Earth Pro completed on 19 January 2018 and searching from the most recent available data from 24 July 2016 and ranging back to 11 April 2000 showed no imagery of a surface connection between the drainage feature and the Pacific Ocean.	
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:		
	January 23, 2018	
	ca Frager Date	
Regi	ulatory Specialist	