

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 6/8/2021 ORM Number: POH-2021-00034

Associated JDs: None

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Hawaii City: Napili-Honokowai County/Parish/Borough: Island of Maui

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 20.953428 Longitude -156.650156

II. FINDINGS

- **A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
 - □ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
 - □ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
 - □ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
 - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	;	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Siz	e	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):					
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Siz	e	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)):4					
Exclusion	Exclusion Size	ze	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination	
Name					
Pulepule Gulch, Kahanaiki Gulch, Mahinahina Gulch, and an Unnamed Gulch	lepule lch, hanaiki lch, hinahina lch, and Unnamed lch	The 412-acre AOR contains four sections of flat former pineapple fields, referred to as Areas 1 through 4 from south to north, and nine sections of four features: Pulepule Gulch, Kahanaiki Gulch, Mahinahina Gulch, and an Unnamed Gulch. The nine sections of the aforementioned four features for potential road crossings were labeled by the agent as Pulepule A and B; Kahanaiki A, B, and C; Unnamed A, B, and C; and Mahinahina. The combined area of the sections of each of the four features within the AOR is as follows: 0.061 acres along 237 linear feet of Pulepule Gulch; 0.260 acres along 850 linear feet of Kahanaiki Gulch; 0.044 acres of 194 linear feet of Unnamed Gulch; and 0.0007 acres along 9 linear feet of Mahinahina Gulch.			
			The land in the AOR was used for commercial pineapple and sugar cane cultivation until 2009. Since 2009, the land has been allowed to lie fallow. According to the January 2018 State of Hawaii Land Use District Boundaries mapping application (https://histategis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.htm I?id=b843c728b4cb4333b1df015fdaa84104), the AOR is within a large area zoned for agriculture. According to the State of Hawaii Land Use Commission's State Land Use Districts website, "The Agricultural District includes lands for the cultivation of crops, aquaculture, raising livestock, wind energy facility, timber cultivation, agriculture-support activities (i.e., mills, employee quarters, etc.) and land with significant potential for agriculture uses". The nearest urban area is approximately 1.72 miles west of the AOR along Honoapiilani Highway.		
				Desktop references do not show any wetlands or other potential waters of the U.S. in the four former pineapple field sections of the AOR. In site visits on 1, 2, and 3 July 2020 and 19 October 2020, the agent surveyed the vegetation in the four former pineapple field sections,. Generally, all four Areas are vegetated by herbaceous species with trees present at the tops of the four gulches between the four Areas. The flatter portions of Areas 1 and 2 at the south half of the AOR were observed to be dominated by with overgrown pineapple plants (Anas cosmosus, UPL), molasses grass (Melinis minutiflora, FAC), sourgrass (Digitaria insularis, FACU), and butterfly bush (Buddleja asiatica, FACU). Asian sword fern (Nephrolepis brownii, FAC) was also observed to be common in the flat areas of Areas 1 and 2. Along the tops of the gulches next to the flat areas at Areas 1 and 2, the vegetation shifted from herbaceous to trees, including Cryptomeria japonica (UPL), Cupressus macrocarpa (UPL),	

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area. ⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1)

exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



Excluded wat	ers ((b)(1) – (b)(12	2)):4	
Exclusion	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Name			
Excluded wat Exclusion Name	ers ((b)(1) – (b)(12 Exclusion Size	2)):4 Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination silk oak (Grevillea robusta, FACU), Formosan koa (FACU), Christmas berry (Schinus terebinthifolius, FAC, and E. rudis, FACU). The flatter portion of Area 3 was observed to be primarily vegetated with monotypic stands of guinea grass (Urochloa maxima, FAC) with few scattered trees including silk oak (Grevillea robusta, FACU) and guava (Psidium guajava, FACU). The tops of the gulches in Area 3 are vegetated with thick stands of Asian sword fern (Nephrolepis brownii, FAC) mixed with molasses grass (Melinis minutiflora, FAC), pineapple plants (Anas cosmosus, UPL), and various vining species including lilikoi (Passiflora edulis, FACU) and white thunbergia (Thunbergia fragrans, UPL). The flat part of Area 4, the northern most flat area in the AOR, is covered with thick vines of the invasive maunaloa (Canavalia cathartica, FACU) vine with some overgrown pineapple plants (Anas cosmosus, UPL). The tops of the gulches along the flat part of Area 4 are vegetated with Eucalyptus species (Euxalyptus camaldulensis, FAC, and E. rudis, FACU), large 'a'ali'i (Dodonaea viscosa, FACU), guava (Psidium guajava, FACU) and formosan koa (Acacia confuse, FACU). Since the agent did not assess or provide the percent absolute cover for each of the four areas, it is not possible to conduct the dominance test or prevalence index for vegetation in accordance with the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Hawaii and Pacific Islands Region. However, none of the most prevalent species observed in the four former pineapple areas has a wetland indicator status of FACW or OBL. Additionally, the fields were once used to grow pineapple, with an wetland indicator status of UPL, which is still present in all four areas, indicating that even following active farming and associated water management the site is sufficiently dry to support a species that requires dry conditions. Based on the vegetation survey and soils information provided in the delin
			(i.e., hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils) and do not lie below the ordinary high water mark or the high tide line of a jurisdictional water. The Corps has determined that in accordance with 33 CFR 328 the four flat former pineapple field Areas are comprised entirely of uplands and does not contain waters of the U.S
			Based on the vegetation survey and soils information provided in the delineation report and gathered from the NRCS SSURGO database layer in Google Earth Pro, the four flat former pineapple field Areas within the AOR do not satisfy all three wetland factors (i.e., hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils) and do not lie below the ordinary high water mark or the high tide line of a jurisdictional water. The Corps has determined that in accordance
			All four features in the AOR are shown on aerial photography and Earth Point USGS topographic data layer for Google Earth Pro. Only Pulepule Gulch, Kahanaiki Gulch, and Mahinahina Gulch are shown on the EPA Waters GeoViewer application, on the USFWS NWI data layer for Google Earth Pro, on the National Hydrography Dataset
			(NHD), and on DLNR DAR mapping. The Unnamed Gulch is not shown in any of those reference data layers. In the DLNR DAR



Excluded wat	ers ((b)(1) – (b)(12)):4		
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
			mapping data layer, Pulepule Gulch and Kahanaiki Gulch are classified as perennial streams and Mahinahina Gulch is classified as a non-perennial stream, while the Unnamed Gulch is not shown in the mapping data layer. Additionally, as stated in the delineation report, Pulepule Gulch, Kahanaiki Gulch, and Mahinahina Gulch are classified as intermittent streams in the NHD and as Riverine, Intermittent, Streambed, Seasonally Flooded (R4SBC) and partially Freshwater Forested/ Shrub Wetland in the USFWS NWI map. No other wetland areas are shown in the AOR in the USFWS NWI data layer. In the Atlas of Hawaiian Watersheds Pulepule Gulch and Kahanaiki Gulch are visible, but Mahinahina Gulch and Unnamed Gulch are not shown. Being visibly noted in multiple desktop references may indicate that flow occurs in Pulepule Gulch, Kahanaiki Gulch, and Mahinahina Gulch with sufficient frequency to be mapped. Conversely, being absent from desktop references may indicate that flow is not sufficiently frequent for a feature to be delineated for informational mapping resources.
			According to the NHD, DAR, and NWI datasets, Pulepule Gulch drains into Kahanaiki Gulch approximately 0.75 miles west outside of the AOR. Further west approximately 1.5 miles west outside of the AOR, Kahanaiki Gulch joins the main branch of Kahana Stream. Kahana Stream continues through the Kahana Nui Desilting Basin, a 50-foot-high earthen dam with emergency spillway upstream of Honoapiilani Highway. Standing water is present in the Kahana Nui Desilting Basin due to flow being held in the basin until it reaches the height of the principle spillway and buried outlet pipes. Downstream of Honoapiilani Highway, Kahana Stream passes through a residential community, beneath a bridge along Lower Honoapiilani Road, to the shoreline along an unlined sandy channel, and into the Pacific Ocean north of Kaea Point and Kahana Village. The feature referred to as Unnamed Gulch joins Mahinahina Gulch south of the Kapalua Airport approximately 1.32 miles southwest outside the AOR. Mahinahina Gulch continues west beyond the limits of the AOR, through a sedimentation basin upstream of Honoapiilani Highway, then beyond Honopiilani Highway through a concrete channel in a residential community. Mahinahina Gulch continues in a concrete channel under a bridge along Lower Honoapiilani Road and to a sand berm at the Pacific Ocean, located north of the Lokelani condominiums and south of Hale Mahina Beach resort. The agent stated that the Mahinhina Gulch sand berm is breached and allows flow into the Pacific Ocean during high flow events.
			Pulepule Gulch begins at approximately 950 feet above sea level and is at the west end of the AOR. The two sections of Pulepule Gulch within the AOR are referred to as Pulepule A to the west and Pulepule B to the east. Since both Pulepule A and B currently have



Excluded wat	ers ((b)(1) – (b)	(12)):4		
Exclusion	Exclusion Size	e Ex	xclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Name				
				dirt crossings the agent examined Pulenule Gulch on both sides of
				each crossing. The agent did not observe the hed and hanks of a
				channel on the east side of the upper dirt crossing at Pulenule
				Culeb (Pulerule P) but did observe that the existing dirt crossing
				Guich (Pulepule B) but did observe that the existing dift crossing
				included a small culvert blocked by dirt and debris. The presence of
				the culvert suggests that flow in Pulepule Gulch was sufficient to
				require a way for water to traverse the road and that the flow was
				sufficient to transport an amount of sediment that could block the
				culvert. However, the presence and blockage of the culvert do not
				reflect a specific frequency of flow in Pulepule Gulch. The agent
				observed channel bed and banks in Pulepule Gulch west of Pulepule
				B, with a width of three to six feet and a depth of three feet. The
				agent observed that the substrate in Pulepule Gulch west of
				Pulepule B was absent of rooted vegetation and included some
				saturated soils and nonded water. The agent suggested that the
				source of water for the saturated coils and pended water could be a
				source of water for the saturated solls and pollueu water could be a
				potable water well located infinediately east of Pulepule B. The area
				surrounding Pulepule Guich at the west side of Pulepule B was
				vegetated with 95% absolute cover of guinea grass (Urochioa
				maxima, FAC), 20% cover for (Psidium guajava, FACU) and 10% for
				Christmas berry (Schinus terebinthifolius, FACU). On the east side of
				the lower dirt crossing Pulepule Gulch (Pulepule A), the agent
				observed that the ground was slightly concave and used the change
				in slope to delineate a 1-foot deep channel as having diffuse banks,
				but the entirety of the channel was vegetated with 100% absolute
				cover of herbaceous vegetation, primarily guinea grass (Urochloa
				maxima, FAC). On the west side of Pulepule A, the agent observed
				that Pulenule Gulch continued to be weakly defined with slightly
				less cover of guinea grass (Urochloa maxima EAC) in the channel
				with 60% absolute source in contrast to 100% guinea grass source of
				the current ding area. The agent also absorved that trace, including
				the surrounding area. The agent also observed that trees, including
				Kukui (Aleurites moluccanus, FACU), were growing in the
				surrounding area but were excluded from Pulepule Gulch. The
				reduced cover of herbaceous vegetation and absence of trees
				within the channel at the lower elevation end (west) of Pulepule A
				suggest that the channel experiences slightly more wet conditions
				and erosion than the surrounding area, but does not flow with
				sufficient frequency to prevent the growth of guinea grass in the
				channel. Both Pulepule B and A were not flowing during the site
				visits. In summary, in both the July and October 2020 site visits, the
				agent observed weak or absent channel morphology, a lack of flow.
				and a lack of indicators of frequent flow in Pulepule Gulch.
				Kabanaiki Gulch begins annroximately at 2 200 feet in elevation
				east of the AOP, joins Dulopulo Gulch approximately wast of the
				AOD, and eventually joins Kehana Stream near Hanger iler:
				AUK, and eventually joins Kanana Stream near Honoapillani
				Highway. The agent referred to the three sections of Kahanaiki
				Guich within the AOR as Kahanaiki A at the west and lower
				elevation end of the AOR, Kahanaiki B in the center, and Kahaniki C



Excluded wat	ers ((b)(1) – (b)(12))	:4	
Exclusion	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Name			
			at the east and higher elevation end of the AOR. Since all three of
			the sections of Kahanaiki Gulch within the AOR have existing or
			former crossings within the footprint of Kahanaiki Gulch, the agent
			examined both sides of all three crossings. At the upper elevation
			crossing at Kabanaiki Gulch (Kabanaiki C) at the east end of the
			AOP the agent observed that the channel was approximately 10
			fact wide by 2 fact doop on both sides of a twin sulvert crossing
			with a tap fact drap from the past to the west side. The agent
			with a ten-root drop from the east to the west side. The agent
			observed that one of the two cuivert pipes was blocked by debris
			and was only visible from the west side of Kananaiki C. The agent
			observed that the substrate on both sides of the road crossing at
			Kahanaiki C is mostly absent of vegetation with approximately 3%
			absolute cover of guinea grass (Urochloa maxima, FAC) rooted in
			the channel. The remainder of the substrate in the channel consists
			of irregularly shaped boulders interspersed with fine sediment and
			small pieces of vegetative debris. The boulders do not appear to
			have consistent staining, but some of the boulders have been
			colonized by moss. The boulders visible within the channel at
			Kahanaiki C were not observed in the surrounding area, indicating
			more frequent erosion in the channel which is potentially the result
			of occasional high velocity flow. However, the difference of exposed
			boulders within the channel and not outside of the channel does
			not signify a specific frequency of flow. Furthermore, the irregular
			shape of the boulders in this section of the channel suggests that
			flow at Kahanaiki C does not occur with sufficient frequency to
			erode the boulders into round shapes typical of more regularly-
			flowing streams. In perennial and more regularly-flowing streams, a
			combination of the boulders rolling in high flows and friction from
			the water and finer sediment carried in the water passing over the
			boulders erodes boulders into smooth round shapes. Similarly, the
			lack of staining on the boulders in this section of channel suggests
			that a consistent water level is not present in the channel. Perennial
			streams tend to have a somewhat consistent water level that can
			leave a horizontally-oriented stain at the same elevation of all the
			houlders within a channel. Similar to consistent horizontal staining
			moss located in a consistent area on the majority of houlders in a
			channel can indicate regular flow and water denth. The presence of
			moss in varied inconsistent locations on bouldars in the shannel at
			Kabapaiki C may indicate the accasional the presence of moisture
			kananaiki C may indicate the occasional the presence of moisture,
			but suggests that now is not sufficiently nequent to develop a
			consistent level of moss across the majority of the boulders. The
			agent did not observe any now or ponding of water within the
			channel at the road crossing.
			The agent also did not observe flow in the Kabanaiki Gulch further
			west at Kahanaiki B. While there is no current road crossing at
			Kahanaiki B the agent observed a concrete structure that may have
			once been a weir or lin for a former read crossing. The agent
			observed that Kahanaiki Gulch widened from Kahanaiki C to



Excluded wat	ers ((b)(1) – (b)(1)	2)):4	
Exclusion	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Name			
			approximately 13 feet wide and 3 feet deep east of the concrete
			structure Kahanaiki B and expanded further to 22 feet wide and 6.5
			feet deep west of Kahanaiki B. Similar to the west side of Kahanaiki
			C, the agent observed irregularly-shaped boulders without apparent
			staining on both sides of Kahanaiki B that were not present in the
			surrounding area, suggesting infrequent flows of high velocity in
			Kahanaiki Gulch at Kahanaiki B. The agent also observed that the
			boulders were interspersed with fine sediment covered in dead
			leaves and other small vegetative debris. The presence of small
			vegetative debris in the channel suggests that flow had not
			occurred recently prior to the site visits, which is not unusual for
			streams with less than perennial flow during the dry season in
			Hawaii. The agent also observed that while branches of Christmas
			berry growing outside the channel have created a thicket above the
			channel, there is no vegetation rooted within the channel. The lack
			of rooted vegetation in the channel, including herbaceous
			vegetation, suggests that flow would occur in the channel with
			sufficient frequency to erode even fast-growing species such as
			guinea grass (Urochloa maxima, FAC), which is present in the
			surrounding area. However, the lack of vegetation rooted in the
			channel taken in context with the irregularly-shaped boulders and
			presence of fine debris indicates that flow would be unlikely to
			occur perennially or intermittently.
			At the western end of the AOR, the agent observed that the existing
			road crossing at Kahanaiki A is over a 14-foot wide concrete box
			culvert and two PVC pipes end at the channel east of the culvert. In
			the October 2020 site visit, the agent did not observe any ponded
			water in the channel or the culvert. The other 8-inch diameter pipe
			was not observed to discharge water during either site visit. In
			personal communication with the agent, Wes Nohara of the Soil
			and Water Conservation District stated that the 8-inch diameter
			pipe had previously conveyed water from the Honokohau Ditch to a
			reservoir northwest of the Study Area, but following the
			abandonment of agriculture in the AOR the 8-inch diameter pipe
			was no longer in use. Neither the agent nor Mr. Nohara knew the
			source of the smaller PVC pipe. The agent observed 100% absolute
			cover of herbaceous vegetation in Kahanaiki A east of the culvert,
			but that trees in the surrounding area, including koa haole
			(Leucaena leucocephala, UPL) and kukui (Aleurites moluccanus,
			FACU) but did not appear to be rooted within the channel. With the
			exception of the standing water, the section of Kahanaiki A west of
			the cuivert crossing appeared similar to the section of Kahanaiki B
			west of the concrete structure: irregularly-shaped boulders without
			a consistent norizontal line or moss, interspersed with small
			vegetative debris, absent of rooted vegetation, contained within
			approximately 3-toot nigh banks. In summary, in both the July and
			October 2020 site visits to Kananaiki Gulch, the agent observed
			some indicators of at least occasional flow, including exposed



Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$. ⁴						
Exclusion	Exclusion Siz	ze	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination		
Name						
				boulders and a lack of rooted vegetation in the channel, and other		
				indicators of infrequent flow, such as the presence of small		
				vegetative debris in the channel.		
				The facture referred to as University Culch evicine to 1.225 fact		
1				above sea level at the eastern and of the AOR and runs east to west		
				narallel to and approximately 830 feet south of Kahanaiki Gulch		
				The three sections of Unnamed Gulch within the AOR are referred		
				to as Unnamed A at the west end of the AOR at lower elevation,		
				Unnamed B in the center, and Unnamed C at the east end of the		
				AOR and higher elevation. Since all three of the sections of		
				Unnamed Gulch currently have existing or former crossings within		
				the footprint of the feature, the Unnamed Gulch was examined on		
				both sides of all three crossings. In an email dated 27 May 2021, the		
				C crossing Regarding I Innamed R at the time of the July 2020 site		
				visit, the agent observed 100% absolute cover of herbaceous and		
				woody vegetation on both sides of the dirt crossing at Unnamed B		
				and did not observe the bed and bank of a channel. However,		
				during the 19 October 2020 site visit following a wildfire that		
				partially burned the area containing Unnamed B and A, the agent		
				observed an approximately 3-foot wide by 3-foot deep channel in		
				the dirt substrate west of the dirt crossing at Unnamed B. Similar to		
				wildfire) the agent did not observe a channel east linnamed A but		
				observed that Unnamed A split into two channels Like Pulepule A		
				the agent delineated the two channels by the reduced percent		
				absolute cover of herbaceous vegetation in the channel in		
				comparison to the percent cover in the surrounding area and the		
				absence of trees in the channel. The agent observed that the south		
				channel ranged from 3.5 to 4.8 feet wide along 12 linear feet and		
				feet Additionally, while no culverts were observed at the Unnamed		
				C or B crossings, the agent observed a 24-inch diameter culvert		
				underneath the existing road at Unnamed A. In summary, in both		
				the July and October 2020 site visits, the agent observed weak or		
1				absent channel morphology, a lack of flow, and a lack of indicators		
				of frequent flow in the Unnamed Gulch.		
				Mahinahina Culah hagina annyayirrataly 2,220 faat in alayatian aast		
				of the AOP and crosses the AOP ance at the southern edge of the		
				AOR at an existing concrete triple-culvert crossing. The agent		
				observed that Mahinahina Gulch is approximately 65 feet wide and		
				10 feet deep with well-defined bed and bank in the project area.		
				Similar to Kahanaiki B and C, the agent observed cobbles and		
				boulders exposed within the channel that were not observed		
				outside the channel, indicating at least occasional flow. In an email		
				dated 27 May 2021, the agent clarified that, similar to Pulepule A		
				and Unnamed A, the agent observed that the channel at Mahinhina		



Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴					
Exclusion	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination		
Name					
			Gulch had less rooted herbaceous vegetation in the channel in contrast to the surrounding area: approximately 20 % absolute cover of guinea grass was rooted in the channel in contrast to 90% absolute cover of guinea grass in the surrounding area. Additionally, trees rooted in the surrounding area, including koa haole on the east side and kukui and java plum on the west side of the crossing, did not appear to be rooted within Mahinahina Gulch. In summary, in both the July and October 2020 site visits, the agent observed weak or absent channel morphology, a lack of flow, and a lack of indicators of frequent flow in Mahinahina Gulch. Photographs provided with the delineation report are consistent with the consultant's characterization summary of all four features within the AOR, including exposed irregularly-shaped boulders, vegetation rooted in parts of the substrate, and discontinuous bed and bank. None of the photographs showed active flow in the any of the four features within the AOR.		
			The Corps has concluded that Pulepule Gulch, Kahanaiki Gulch, Mahinahina Gulch, and an Unnamed Gulch are all determined not to be tributaries based on the information above. The four features in the AOR are all ephemeral features (b)(3) that do not contribute surface water flow to a water identified as an (a)(1) water in a typical year either directly or through one or more waters identified in (a)(2),(3) or (4) of the NWPR. In accordance with the NWPR, ephemeral, (b)(3), waters are not Waters of the U.S. and therefore not jurisdictional.		

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Report, titled "Kahana Solar Project Draft Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States" (Tetra Tech, Inc., dated February 2021)

This information is and is not sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: Certain information and data presented in the report is considered sufficient for substantiating the flow characteristics of the aquatic features located in the JD review area, presence/absence of wetlands, and whether a hydrologic surface connection in a typical year exists to an (a)(1) water. However, the report contains some errors and data gaps as well as statements that are not entirely congruent with the NWPR. While these deficiencies were noted by the USACE, they did not have a bearing on the empirical data and other information that the USACE considered and relied upon in determining the jurisdictional status of the aquatic features within the JD review area.

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
- Photographs: Aerial and Other: Aerial photographs acquired from Google Earth Pro. Photographs are included in the agent's February 2021 delineation report.
- \Box Corps site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).



☑ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): The Corps reviewed the ORM database and found that in POH-2018-00106 (West Maui Water Source Development Project), a NWP 12 under the 2017 NWPs verified on 16 August 2018, the Corps determined that a portion of Kahanaiki Gulch within the subject AOR was an ephemeral feature. West outside the AOR, Pulepule Gulch drains into Kahanaiki Gulch which drains to Kahana Stream. The two projects listed in ORM for Kahana Stream were POH-2005-00132 (Replace Kahananui Bridge Lahaina Maui), a verification under NWPs 12, 14, and 33 issued on 20 April 2005, and POH-2020-00070 (DPW Maui, Maintenance at Kahana Stream, Maui, HI TMK: (2) 4-3-019:888), a No Permit Required Letter based on an activity that is not regulated by the Corps. Neither POH-2005-00132 nor POH-2020-00070 included a determination of the flow regime of Kahana Stream in the Aquatic Resources tab in ORM.

Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B*.

USDA NRCS Soil Survey: in delineation report and from the SSURGO data layer for Google Earth Pro and NRCS Web \ge Soil Survey: As shown in the February 2021 resource delineation report, soils in the main part of the AOR are mapped as seven series. A small portion of flat parts of the AOR in Areas 1 and 2 on the eastern edge of the AOR are mapped as Olelo silty clay, 15 to 50 percent slopes. The remainder of the flat parts of Areas 1 through 4 are split between a mix of Alaeloa silty clay 7 to 15 percent slopes and Alaeloa silty clay 15 – 35 percent slopes on the east half of the areas and a mix of Kahana silty clay, 3 to 7 percent slopes, and Kahana silty clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes on the west half of the four Areas. All three series, Olelo and Alaeloa are in the Ultisol soil order. The Ultisol soil order is characterized by a subsurface clay layer, but does not necessarily indicate the presence or absence of hydric soils. The Kahana series is in the Inceptisol soil order, but similar to the Olelo and Alaeloa series, the Kahana series is characterized by the accumulation of clay into subsurface (37 cm below surface and deeper) layers. None of the soil layers for the Olelo, Alaeloa, and Kahana soils series as shown in the NRCS SSURGO data layer are characterized as gleyed. The lack of gleying in soils profiles can indicate the lack of long-term presence of water needed to achieve anaerobic conditions. The tops of the gulches in the four former pineapple field Areas and in the portions of the four features that are within the AOR are composed of two soil series called Rough broken and stony land and Rough mountainous land. Rough broken and stony land is classified as part of the Inceptisol soil order while Rough mountainous land is classified as part of the Entisol soil order, indicating minimal and no soil development, respectively. Neither of the two soils series in the four gulches include gleyed layers. The geomorphic position of both Rough broken and stony land and Rough mountainous land is described as "gulches/Backslope". Reflecting the steep slope and geomorphic position in the NRCS soils series descriptions, the hydraulic rating for all soil series in the AOR are listed as well drained with a Hydric Rating of "No", indicating that none of the soils series are known hydric soils.

USFWS NWI maps: data layer for Google Earth Pro

 \bowtie USGS topographic maps: Earth Point Topo Map data layer for Google Earth Pro. The AOR consists of a series of gently sloping hills separated by ravine-like valleys. Most of the AOR has a 0 − 15% slope from southeast to north west with some areas greater than 15%. Based on the terrain data layer for Google Earth Pro, the elevation from the east edge to the west edge of the main portion of the AOR, which is the portion that overlaps the four features, decreases by approximately 610 feet, with the center of the main section AOR at an elevation of approximately 879 feet above sea level. The road continues west past the end of the main part of the AOR to end near the Kapalua Airport at approximately 80 feet above sea level. Within the AOR, water flows along with the change in slope from east to west toward the Pacific Ocean.

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	USGS Stream Gauges: In the February 2021 delineation report, the agent stated that
	there are no active USGS stream gauges on any of the channels within or outside of
	the AOR. The nearest USGS gauge (number 16623500) is located approximately
	1.82 miles northwest of the AOR on Kaopala Gulch, a separate neighboring channel
	north of and parallel to Kahana Gulch. None of the features in the AOR flow into
	Kaopala Gulch. Data collected from field measurements at the gauge available online
	indicate that flow data was sporadically collected; the data at the gauge does not
	provide a continuous reference from which to determine flow relative to the presence
	or absence of precipitation.

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:



Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	NOAA Daily Summaries precipitation web application.
USACE Sources	N/A.
Other state/local data	DLNR DAR mapping layer
(specify)	
EPA sources (specify)	EPA Waters GeoViewer Application

- **B.** Typical year assessment(s): The Corps, Honolulu District used the Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) to understand whether normal Typical Year conditions (i.e., precipitation levels within the normal periodic range) were present within the JD review area at the time that field assessments were completed for the area of review during the delineation report site visit dates of 1, 2, and 3 July 2020. The APT reports indicate that conditions were normal for July 1, 2, and 3, 2020 and drier than normal on 19 October 2020. As stated in the delineation report, according to the Online Rainfall Atlas of Hawai'i (Giambelluca et al. 2013), the area receives a mean annual rainfall of approximately 29.3 inches (754 millimeters [mm]) at the Kapalua Airport (west outside of the AOR) and approximately 72.1 inches (1,830 millimeters [mm]) at the higher elevations at the east end of the AOR. Rainfall is typically highest in December and January and lowest in June through September.
- **C.** Additional comments to support AJD: According to USGS topographic EarthPoint data layer, also provided in the agent's February 2021 report, a Honokohau Tunnel, a feature consisting of tunnels at varying depths, also runs beneath the ground surface through the western portion of the AOR from south to north. Maui County tax maps refer to the tunnel system as Honolua Ditch. The tunnel system is referred to in the 2021 delineation report as the Honolua /Honokohau Ditch. Since the tunnel system is subsurface and would not be impacted by the proposed project, this AJD does not include a determination of whether Honolua Ditch is a water of the U.S. Additionally, remnant features of past agricultural use, including ditches and water reservoirs, are also scattered throughout the AOR. Aerial photos show one open reservoir within the AOR between Kahanaiki Gulch and the Unnamed Gulch. Five additional reservoirs are present outside but in close proximity to the AOR. None of the reservoirs visible on the aerial photos connect to the four features within the AOR. In recent aerial photography (October 21, 2020), four of the six water reservoirs had been partially or completely drained. Since the reservoirs appear to be isolated and constructed in uplands, the reservoirs are considered to be uplands and are not discussed further in this AJD.